

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/642,618	08/19/2003	Hideaki Sakurai	241584US0CONT	3769
22850 7	590 01/21/2005		EXAM	INER
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET			BLUM, DAVID S	
	ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		2813	

DATE MAILED: 01/21/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

14.17

The state of the s		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Advisory Action	10/642,618	SAKURAI ET AL.
, in the second	Examiner	Art Unit
	David S. Blum	2813
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
THE REPLY FILED 04 January 2005 FAILS TO PLACE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avinal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applicated at a simely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply to a
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]	
a) The period for reply expires 5 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The tee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of the under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the company	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CFI f extension and the corresponding amothe shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action: or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF		
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:	
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b		,.
(c) they are not deemed to place the application ir issues for appeal; and/or	better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without canceling NOTE:	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejecti	ion(s): NONE.	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).		eparate, timely filed amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		dered but does NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		
Claim(s) allowed: None.		
Claim(s) objected to: None.		
Claim(s) rejected: <u>26 and 29-40</u> .		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: None.		
8. \boxtimes The drawing correction filed on <u>19 August 2003</u> is a	a)⊠ approved or b)⊡ disappr	oved by the Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	it(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	
0. Other:	, , , , , ,	1 (51)
		DAVID BLUM

PRIMARY EXAMINER